

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,062	12/11/2001	David E. Johnson	SL-04	7252
24985	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
KENNETH S WATKINS JR 372 RIVER DR			PATTERSON, MARC A	
DAHLONEGA, GA 30533			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/015,062	JOHNSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marc A Patterson	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/21	<u>/03</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9,27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the	e application	- ( -			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	· ·	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	The state of the s				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receiv	tion No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed			
and analysis detailed office deficit for a list t	and detailed depice flot receiv	·			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) late Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of Claim 9, of record on page 2 of the previous Action, is withdrawn.

The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1, 3 – 6 and 9 as being anticipated by Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195).

#### **NEW REJECTIONS**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 9 is dependent on Claim 8, which has been cancelled.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195).

Art Unit: 1772

With regard to Claim 27, Wells discloses a heat – shrinkable cover (page 1, paragraph 3) for heat shrinking over a asymmetrically contoured article (irregular shaped, therefore having a contoured shape portion when the sleeve is in a lay – flat condition; page 1, paragraph 2; page 1, paragraph 2), made of a preferentially oriented film (single shrink orientation; page 1, paragraph 4); the cover is a sleeve (tubular, but having the shape of the article rather than parallel sides; Basic-Abstract; Figure 3) and has a top which is smaller than, and offset from, the bottom (page 2, paragraph 3; Figure 4); it therefore has an open bottom defining a vertical bottom axis and an open top defining a vertical top axis, the top axis offset transversely from the bottom axis. With regard to the claimed aspect of the sleeve being 'made by welding,' the scope of the claims falls within the limitations of Wells as discussed above. The method of making the sleeve (product – by – process) is given little patentable weight.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 3 6 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195) in view of Gandolfo (U.S. Patent No. 4,636,271).

Art Unit: 1772

With regard to Claims 1 and 27 - 28, Wells discloses a heat – shrinkable cover as discussed above. Wells fails to disclose a sleeve which has printed graphics on a contoured shape portion.

Gandolfo teaches the printing of graphics on a heat – shrinkable cover for heat – shrinking over a contoured article (tubular; column 4, lines 17 – 24) for the purpose of indentification of the article (column 4, lines 64 – 68). The desirability of providing for a printing of graphics in Wells, which is a heat – shrinkable cover for heat – shrinking over a contoured article, would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for printing of graphics in Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195) in order to identify an article which has been covered by the heat – shrinkable cover as taught by Gandolfo.

With regard to Claims 3-5 the contoured shape disclosed by Wells comprises concave and convex – shaped portions (Figures 3-4).

With regard to Claim 6, the sleeve disclosed by Wells has an angled shape (Figure 4) and therefore comprises a first side in a lay – flat condition which has a vertical portion and an angled portion disposed between the vertical portion and open top, the angled portion forming and obtuse angle with the vertical portion.

#### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

8. Applicant's arguments regarding the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of Claim 9, and 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claims 1, 3 – 6 and 9 as being anticipated by Wells et al

Art Unit: 1772

(U.K. Patent No. 2241195), of record in the previous Action, have been considered and have been found to be persuasive. The rejections are therefore withdrawn. The new 35 U.S.C. second paragraph rejection of Claim 9, 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of Claim 27 as being anticipated by Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195), 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claims 1, 3 – 6 and 28 as being unpatentable over Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195) in view of Gandolfo (U.S. Patent No. 4,636,271) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of Claim 9 as being unpatentable over Wells et al (U.K. Patent No. 2241195) in view of Gandolfo (U.S. Patent No. 4,636,271) and further in view of Cook et al (U.S. Patent No. 3,086,242) above are directed to amended Claims 1, 3 – 6 and 9 and newly submitted Claims 27 – 28.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Patterson, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (703) 308-4251. FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9310. FAXs received after 4 P.M. will not be processed until the following business day.

Marc A. Patterson, PhD.

Mare Petter Art Unit 1772